

UTTLESFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE
SUPPLEMENTARY LIST OF REPRESENTATIONS

27 April 2011

SCHEDULE ITEMS

P 1 UTT/1984/10/FUL - LITTLEBURY - The Sidings Peggys Walk

Sam Sproul (member of Littlebury PC)

The developer has driven a very big truck through the rules. This is a 16 house development but because he has decided to split the extension and the new build into two separate applications he has 14 new build plus 2 extension thus avoiding the necessity to provide affordable housing. This to me is just dodging the issue.

The problem of vehicle movement has not been dealt with. The figures provided have not been checked against the vehicles either delivering or removing stock from warehouse. The number of vehicles from this development will be far in excess of vehicle movement in the past. This is 16 homes all with 2 parking spaces.

The danger to children walking up this narrow road, which in some parts is just enough for 2 cars to pass, cannot be passed off by Highways as not a problem and some comment of being beneficial is just a joke. There will be a Major increase in vehicle movement at the time any children will be walking up this narrow road.

The developer has not as yet provided any confirmation of the noise level standard and until the Councillors have seen this permission should not be granted for this development. How can a house be sold that if a window is opened it does not meet the noise standard.

The education question for future children on this development must be considered as the local school for junior children at Gt Chesterford is bordering on limiting the number of vacancies available both at present and into the future.

The sewage problem at the houses is a problem at present. I do not see any progress on having this dealt with without the addition of 14 houses. There has been a blockage in main drain

**P 22 UTT/0459/11/DFO - TAKELEY (STANSTED AIRPORT) - South Gate Hotel Site
Thremhall Avenue**

PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS: Stansted Parish Council: We do not believe that landscaping plan provides for sufficient screening between the site and the A120 and would like to see this improved.

**P 26 UTT/0580/11/FUL - SAFFRON WALDEN - Land East of former Bell
Language School Peaslands Road**

Oppose the positioning of the crossing. The crossing will be just some 10 metres from the front of my property to which the master bedroom and sitting room are located. My property will be affected by both the light pollution caused by the lighting on the crossing as well as the additional noise levels and traffic fumes caused by vehicles stopping and accelerating away from the crossing. Access to and from my front drive will be difficult due to dormant traffic temporarily stopped for the crossing blocking my drive.

Due to the parking restrictions surrounding the crossing, parking will no longer be available outside my property as well as my adjoining owner's properties. The proposed crossing is to be located on a fast traffic bend, on a hill, on a road where traffic will be travelling at least 30 to 40 miles per hour with two access roads near by, Winstanley Road and Brom Field which are approximately 30 meters away.

The traffic from Winstanley Road Road entering onto Peaslands Road will have problems accessing due to the possibility of dormant traffic stopping at the crossing blocking the access, a considerable amount of traffic from the estates behind use Winstanley Road to get onto Peaslands Road to access all other roads in the area.